

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/601,792	06/23/2003	Daniel C. Troyer	4264-030858	8337	
28289	7590 07/09/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
WEBB ZIESENHEIM LOGSDON ORKIN & HANSON, P.C.			PETERSON, KENNETH E		
	RS BUILDING TH AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	PITTSBURGH, PA 15219		3724		

DATE MAILED: 07/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			\circ	Ly
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	0
		10/601,792	TROYER, DANIEL C.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Kenneth E Peterson	3724	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE!	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5)	Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> are subject to restriction and/or expressions.	vn from consideration.		
Applicati	on Papers			
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ton is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) <u> </u>	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received i (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
		•		
Attachment	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da		8

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-10, drawn to a saw blade, classified in class 83, subclass 835.

II. Claim 11, drawn to a method of cutting wood, classified in class 144.

2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because the inventions of group

Il and group I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are

distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by

another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can

be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In

this case the apparatus could be used to cut metal instead of wood.

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have

acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and

because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination

purposes as indicated is proper.

4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct

species of the invention:

Species A – Figures 1-5

Species B – Figures 6-8

Art Unit: 3724

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, at least some, if not all, of the claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/601,792

Art Unit: 3724

5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must

include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be

traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Ken Peterson whose telephone number is 703-308-

2186. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Thursday between 7am

and 4pm.

In lieu of mailing, it is encouraged that all formal responses be faxed to 703-872-

9306. If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Allan Shoap can be reached on 703-308-1082. Any inquiry of a general nature or

relating to the status of this application should be directed to the receptionist whose

telephone number is 703-308-1148.

kp

July 7, 2004

KENNETH E. PETERSON

Page 4

PRIMARY EXAMINER